RICK D. NYDEGGER Brent P. Lorimer THOMAS R. VUKSINICK LARRY R. LAYCOCK IONATHAN W. RICHARDS DAVID R. WRIGHT JOHN C. STRINGHAM JOHN M. GUYNN CHARLES L. ROBERTS DANA L. TANGREN ERIC L. MASCHOFF CHARLES J. VEVERKA ROBYN L. PHILLIPS RICHARD C. GILMORE * STERLING A. BRENNAN R. BURNS ISRAELSEN DAVID R. TODD DAVID B. DELLENBACH L. DAVID GRIFFIN Adrian J. Lee FRASER D. ROY

CARL T. REED

R. Parrish Freeman, Jr. PETER F. MALEN, IR. L. REX SEARS, PH.D. WILLIAM R. RICHTER ROBERT E. AYCOCK IENS C. JENKINS MICHAEL B. DODD BRETT A. HANSEN BRETT I. JOHNSON KEVIN W. STINGER RYAN D. BENSON SARA D. JONES TIGE KELLER JANNA L. JENSEN MATTHEW D. TODD J. LaVar Oldham MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM MATTHEW A. BARLOW Wesley C. Rosander Andrew S. Hansen CHAD E. NYDEGGER IOSEPH G. PIA CLINTON E. DUKE Ryan N. Farr JAMES B. BELSHE KIRK R. HARRIS MICHAEL M. BALLARD DAVID A. JONES SHANE K. JENSEN Jonathan M. Benns, Ph.D. SCOTT A. WOODBURY JOHN T. GADD MARK W. FORD

Vernon R. Rice § OF COUNSEL

AARON M. SMITH

Workman | Nydegger

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 Eagle Gate Tower **60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE** SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111 TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800 Fax: (801) 328-1707

WEBSITE: HTTP://www.wnlaw.com

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No: 13768.604.28

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
	Andrew L. Bliss, et al.)
Serial No:	10/700,180) Art Unit) 2193
Confirmation No.:	3587)
Filed:	November 3, 2003)
For:	TECHNIQUES FOR MANAGED CODE DEBUGGING)
Examiner:	Todd D. Ingberg)))

TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not necessarily be the *sole* ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this 27 day of October, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Rick D. Nydegger

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 28,651 Customer No. 047973

RDN: aam AAM0000002839V001